G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1908.
(Miscl.)
File No. 1 ✓ 1908
Serial Nos. 1 to 8

Papers Filed.

Month—~~January~~ February 1912

SUBJECT.
Repairs to Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Pillaneyanpatti in the Dindigul Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Misc 1914 - 021.	580 - 12 742 - 11 (Nos. 1 to 4).

Venkataramana Aiyar
Recorder

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards -)

NOTES.

File No. 1-1908

FILE HEADING.

Repairs to Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Ollangere in the Shimoga Taluk

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

Letter No. 320/50 Dated 5-12-1908 Received 7-12-1908

Diary No. 313	Enclos. /	Spare Copies	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the sanctioned works to the repairs of the Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Ollangere could not be carried out as the Contractors pleads inability and that the work has to be carried out by the P.W.D and promises to submit a revised Estimate as soon as it is received from the Executive Engineer.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted for orders.

May this be deposited with the present orders? If approved, they will be placed in Sus & Reports issued.

Put up for consideration.

We will draw him from the D.C. when the Estimate is received.

14-12-1908

Notes—First sheet

GPB—30,000 - 3-4-07.

2

Jany 1-08

Routine Notes.

To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 319

Jan 1-08-2.

radhwa' Mood' of

nof Salut. for the repairs executed to the

Sri Venkataramana Swami

temple at the place.

Under Secy's order,

" Send on to Dy Comr and

Say that if he approves of the

work done a measurement

bill may be submitted for

sanction. Endt to Parties

Draft Endt

4/11/08

Sd/-

Issue

11/8/1908

3. To D.C. Shimoga No 4488/13

forwards petn for sub-

mission of measurement bill

4. To the petitioner No 449/13

Endorsement to party.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

Serial No.

5 From the Dy Comr, Shimoga Dr No 57/6 & 19-8-11

Reports upon the claim of Contractor Madhuva Mudaliyar for the work done by him & submits a measurement bill for Rs 220-15-5.

The previous history of the case is contained in the Muz. F. No 194-04 herewith put up —

Two estimates for Rs 511 9 & Rs 2242/- for repairs to the ~~temple~~ Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Pillangere & for constructing a kitchen in it, respectively ~~The cost of these estimates aggregating Rs 7361/- were ordered to be met~~ were sanctioned in G.O No 2307-8 & 19-12-04 & the cost of the same (aggregating Rs 7361/-) was ordered to be met as follows.

Rs 1272-10-4 from the savings of the temple

Rs 2000-0-0 from the amount contributed by one Sowcar Venkatappa

Rs 3272-9-0 from the State grant

& Rs 816-5-8- to be met from contributions of devotees

Total Rs 7361/-

In G.O No 4233-4 & 18-5-05, a further sum of Rs 400/- was sanctioned towards

— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

the balance of Rs 816-5-8 ~~and~~ which had been ordered to be met from private contributions in the above Govt. order & the said Sowcar Venkatappa contributed a further sum of Rs 400/- towards meeting the same; so altogether a sum of Rs 3672/- was sanctioned from the State grant & Rs 2400/- was contributed by Venkatappa for meeting the cost of these estimates.

A supplemental estimate for Rs 2130/- ~~was~~ having been again submitted for sanction Govt refused to give any more help & the Dy Comr thereupon reported that ~~the~~ Sowcar Venkatappa ~~was~~ had agreed to meet the cost of this estimate entirely from his pocket. Thus the estimate was then sanctioned. Thus Venkatappa contributed & promised to contribute more in all (Rs 2400/- + Rs 400/-) + Rs 2130/- ~~or Rs 4530/-~~ ~~in all~~ for the repairs, & the whole work was given to him on contract. The estimated cost of the whole work ~~was~~ thus came up to (Rs 7361/- + Rs 2130/-) or Rs 9491/-.

61 of 4

Of these works, the construction of the Kitchen (for which an estimate for Rs 2242/- was sanctioned) is said to have been completed at a cost of Rs 2241-5-4. Of this amount

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 & 10

Serial No.

per ... dispo...

only a sum of Rs 2028-11-1 is said to have been paid to the contractor (the deceased Venkatappa), & the balance of Rs 212-10-0 to have been foregone by him in his will. The deceased Contractor ~~is alleged~~ is stated to have bequeathed a sum of Rs 2000/- for the benefit of the institution

The work done to the main building by the contractors are said to be in a very unsatisfactory state & it is proposed to submit a revised estimate for dismantling the present structure at a probable cost of Rs 200/- & reconstructing the temple at a cost of ~~Rs~~ about Rs 7249/- & the temple is stated to have enough funds for this purpose.

As regards the claim of Contractor Madhura Mudaliyar, the work done by him is valued at Rs 220-15-5 by the P.W.I & a ~~bill~~ copy of the measurements has been sent; whereas the contractor claims Rs 800/- for the work. The Dy Comr has not submitted a ~~reg~~ measurement bill duly countersigned by him.

Submd for orders A measurement bill

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

for the Amt due to the Contractor as also a revised estimate for the work may be called for, if approved from the Dy Comr. The work has been in progress since the end of 1904 and has been most unsatisfactorily done necessitating a demolition of the main building & rebuilding it. This does not speak well of the supervision exercised over it.

Subt for orders. It is also a matter for consideration if the work could not be entrusted to P.W.D.

Submitted with a draft letter to Council.

The Dy Comr seems to have done nothing but forward the papers to Govt and ask them to settle the matter.

It seems true that when the work for which about R. 800 is claimed is only found to be worth about R. 220 it was incumbent upon a responsible officer to first settle what items of work were done by the petr, then take measurements, prepare, point out the defects therein and obtain his explanation. And then submit the papers with his own opinion to the Superior Officer or the Govt. This has not been done in this case.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No. 9

flag A. .x. Tehsildar in his report No. 32 of 16.12.1908 says that the work done by Mashum Mudaliar is worth about Rs. 400. He also adds that the P.W. Dept may be asked to examine the work in the presence of the Contractor.

The Contractor does not appear to have been present when the measurements were taken by the P.W.D. & C - Division Officer. The Work Inspector - a Govt servant - seems to have been present.

The file does not contain any of Mashum Mudaliar's petitions sent from here to the D.C.

flag B. .x. The P.W. Sub-Division Officer's report with its enclosures gives some information.

As the work was carried out by the Rev. Dept. it is not clear why they do not assume responsibility for settling the Contractor's claims.

As regards the question whether the Contract should be executed by the Rev. Dept or the P.W. Dept, from what the D.C. told me at Mysore (when the files were not yet sent to me by the office) I think that he is not in favour of the P.W.D executing this or any other Dept's

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

work.

His predecessors were in favour of this work being entrusted to P.W. Dept.

As it is said that a new Contractor has been selected the work may continue under the Rev: Dept.

Ambedkar 26/10/1911

169

Is the whole of the previous work to go for nothing & heavy expse incurred again? ~~The~~ If so, the Rev Deptt's incompetency seems quite made out. Why should such a large work not be transferred to P.W.D. Please speak to me about this before issuing the order.

29/10/11

A. Venkatasubba Rao
has spoken to me on the subject
within 29/10/1911

The work is a large one &

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

and Revenue Secretariat. | Mur File No. / of 1908

Serial No.

the Rev. Deptt work has not been successful so far in this case. I would prefer the work being done by the P.W. This may be suggested to the D.C.

1/11/11

Issue all area Chi draft & attend inform this contractor also.

1/11/1911

To

The Dy Commr Shemoga &
and Comptroller

No 1008—9 & 2.11.1911

Sanctioning the payment to the Contractor, Madhure Mudaliar & requesting the Dy Commr to report if the work may not be entrusted to the P.W. Deptt for execution.

From the Dy Commr, Shemoga & No 126/308 & 11-11-1911

States that, as already reported by him, he has arranged to get the work done by a competent Contractor through the agency of the Rev Deptt

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

R. S. 12]
eral and Revenue
Secretariat.

Mus File No. 1 of 1908

Serial No.

Submitted for orders please
C&M (139) 27/11/11 RG
Alexandra 29/11/1911

Keep till C's return from Delhi 265
RG 29/11/11

The Dy C= having taken up the responsibility, we need not interfere. Call for a report as to the character of the work & either inspect it yourself or call my attention if I go that side.

9/1/12

Resubmitted please
The DC Cal who was here for the Darjeeling Exhibition inquiring told me that he has not started the work and that the amount debited in Sanction 1 just and that 3 days - he has arranges to get the [illegible] Secretary of the Rev: Dept. as means he has ordered the collection of materials.

As I have noted above Mr Sinclair's inspection of the P.W. Dept's carrying out the work and promises is done much, especially in the matter entrusted with the Rev: Dept. Mr Sinclair's inspection

Alexandra
14/2/1912

NOTE — Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000— 12 5 10

Revenue

Mug File No. 1 of 1908

Serial No.

The Dy Coll may be authorised to carry out the work.

Dr plan &
est
19/2/12

16/2/12

Draft subd accordingly.

cesl (139)
20/2/12.

Done

To

The Dy comm Ahmedabad
& Collector

No. 1975-6 D 23.2.1912

Under the circumstances, authorizing the Dy Comm to have the work carried out by the agency of the Revenue Dept.

D313

1908 Jud/E-1-08-1

From K. Chandra Gurjina, B.A.
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer
Esq., B.A., B.L.
Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Depart

Dated 5th December 1908

Despatched

Received

General No. 320
No. M. 50

Enclosure No. 1

Forwards for information a copy of this office letter No 258 dated 3rd December 1908 to the Executive Engineer, Shimoga Division in the matter of the repairs of the temple of Sri Venkataramanaswami at Pillangere in the Shimoga Taluk

8.20 Ex-L.
Dec-50

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga, 5th December 1950

To

The Executive Engineer, L.B.
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Sub: Re-measurement of Bagur tank
Estimate for improvement
and renovation of Bagur tank

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 256 dated 30th November 1950 on the above subject, I am directed to state that the estimate for the improvement of Bagur tank in Shimoga taluk. Three estimates amounting to Rs. 9911/- were sanctioned for this work vide Government letter No. 3211 dated 26th December 1949 and according to the last report only half of the work has been done. Therefore it is understood to be finished within a year and then Government grant to these works of the amount of another Rs. 9911/- was not received until after any middle the proposal to transfer Shimoga Bagur has not been done the work satisfactorily and needs to be extended up to work and a better contractor is not immediately available. Besides the work

as a big undertaking requiring constant supervision which the Revenue Department can ill afford to exercise. I have, therefore, requested the Executive Engineer to have the rest of the work done in the Public Works Department and I request that this arrangement may be sanctioned.

2. The present estimate does not provide for windows to the temple and I have, therefore, requested the Executive Engineer to revise the estimate making provision for them and the cost may be met from the savings effected by the abandonment of the idea of removing and reconstructing the Khaladvaram which appears to be unnecessary as the existing one is strong enough and may be allowed to stand with some necessary repairs. On this point the Executive Engineer's opinion will, of course, prevail. I shall send up the revised estimate for sanction of Government as soon as it is received from the Executive Engineer.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
K Mundy
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Chingleput District

JM

No. 258 E43.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga, 3d. December 1908

From,

K. Chandy Esquire, B.A
Off. Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To,

A.V. Sundaram Iyer Esquire, B.A
Executive Engineer
Shimoga Division

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your docket No 599 dated 21st May 1908 regarding the repairs of the temple of Sri Venkataramana Swami at Pillangere in the Shimoga Taluk, I have the honor to state that I inspected the work on the 29th November 1908 and found that the work was not being done satisfactorily. The work which is a big undertaking - the amount of the estimate sanctioned for it from time to time aggregating to Rs 9491 - requires constant supervision which the Revenue Department cannot afford to exercise. Consequently the work has suffered much - in fact, I learn that during the last one month, no work was done at all.

2. The work that has been done until now is very little and not satisfactory and as I am not confident that the rest of the

estimate can be worked out by the Revenue Department with any success, I request that you will kindly arrange to have it done in the Public Works Department under proper supervision. In doing so, I request that you may make the following alterations in the sanctioned estimate so as not to exceed the amount already sanctioned and forward to me a revised estimate in accordance therewith, so that I may submit it to Government for sanction. The modifications that I consider necessary are the provision of windows wherever necessary and the abandonment of the item of removing and reconstructing the Mahadwaram which appears to me to be unnecessary as the existing one is strong enough and may be allowed to stand with necessary repairs.

2. The present Contractor whose work has been found to be unsatisfactory may be dispensed with and the work that has been done by him may be measured and billed for, the bills being sent to this office for payment in the Revenue Department.

4. I request that early action may be taken in this matter, so that the work may be completed before the next monsoon

acts in.

5. The sanctioned estimates in original are enclosed.

Yours &c
(Sd) K. Chandy
Off Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga Distt

"Copy"
K Chandu
Off Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Distt

Muz. 1]

190

From

To The Deputy Commr

Shimoga District

Dated

Despatched 13.8.09

Received

General No. 468

No. A. 2 1-08-9

Enclosure No. 2

In Continuation of this Office No. 2284 D 29th July 1909, has the honor to forward herewith 2 Petns nos D. 200 & D 25th July & 5th August 1909 319 received from Contractor Madhuré Moodliar of Shimoga praying for the amount due to him for the repairs executed to the Sri Venkataramana Swamii Temple at Nikanigre in the Shimoga Taluk and to request that if he ap[illegible]

If the work done, a measurement bill may be submitted for sanction

The promised revised estimate is awaited

PTO.

By
U.D. Verma
for Secretary
11/8/09

449 / M.7.1-08-4 — 13-8-09

[illegible]

[illegible] 200 [illegible]

319 [illegible]

[illegible]

By order,

Draft — 11-8-1909

for Secretary

11-8-09

1874

H Lenwaste Row Esq
Dy Commissioner
Shimoga

& S Balasundaram Esq
BCS. Secretary to the
General & Revenue Dept
Bangalore

Dated 19 August 1911
Despatched
Received
General No. 57
No. 6

Enclosure No. 6 & file

With reference to his L
440 dated 12-8-
Rev. 71-08-3
reports upon the claim
of contractor Madhur
Mundaliyar for the work
done by him in the re
construction of the temp
of Sri Venkataramana
Devaru at Pillangere,
Shimoga Taluk.

Continue note
29/8/11

CJB—70 1cen s—27 12 C

Lasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A
Officiating Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departm
Inzaroi Branch
Bangalore

With reference to the marginally noted
Communication from your office calling
for a report on the claim of Contractor es
Madhure Modaliar for the work done by him
in the reconstruction of the temple of Sri
Venkatramana devaru at Pillangere in the
Shimoga Taluk, I have the honor to state
that the work done by this contractor as measur
and billed for by the Public Works Sub Division
officer is valued at Rs 220-15-5 Copies of the Shimoga
Division Executive Engineer's letter No. 460 dated

12.6.1911 on the subject and of its Enclosures are enclosed for favor of perusal.

2. The Contractor in his petition of 3.11.1908 to this office says that he has spent Rs 800/- on this work. There is therefore a great disparity between his Claim and the valuation of the work by the Public Works Department. Besid the work done to the temple building has been Condemned in toto by the Executive Engineer who is of opinion that the foundations and th Superstructure already built should be re-moved and that the work should be recommen-ced.

3. It will be seen from the previous Correspondence on the subject that three estimates, two for the main building for Rs 5119 and Rs 2130/- respectively, and one for the kitchen for Rs 2242, were sanctioned by Governm

The Kitchen has been completed at a cost of Rs 2241-5-1, out of which the Contractor, the late Mr T Venkatappa was paid only Rs 2028-11-1 for the work. The claim on the 3rd and final bill for Rs 212-10-0 received in May 1908 was foregone by the deceased Contractor in the will executed by him at the time of his death.

4 If the opinion of the Executive Engineer is to be acted upon, it is clear that provision has to be made now for the following item of Expenditure

(i) Reconstruction of the temple at a probable Cost of Rs 7,249 as per Estimates;

(ii) Removal of the structure already erected, at a probable cost of Rs 200; and (iii) Claim of Madhura Modaliar for the work done by him.

5. Including Rs 2000 given by the late

Mr T. Venkatappa at the time of his death, the amount at the credit of the institution at the end of June 1911 is Rs 8151-14-3 which it is believed, will suffice to meet the above items of expenditure, if economically managed

6. As the institution is one of the antiquity held in high esteem by the devotees in this part of the country, its early restoration is necessary. Early orders are therefore solicited regarding the settlement of the claim of Madhura Modaliar Arrangements have been made for the speedy execution of the work. A good Contractor has been secured and is collecting materials

7 The petitions from Madhura Modaliar received for report and the connected papers on the subject

accompany for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

D. Srinivasa Raghava
Dy Deputy Commissioner

From

The Executive Engineer,
Shimoga Division

To.

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Dated 12th June 1911.

General No 460. Enclosure No 2.

The Pillangere Temple

Sir,

In the matter of the above temple work, which I am told was started in your department through the agency of the Venkatappa and subsequently by Madur Modaliar who apparently made a mess of the work and on which you desired me to pass an opinion with a view to your settling claims &c, I have the honor to inform you that I directed No. I Sub Division Office to take out a few trial pits to examine the

foundations built and submit a clear report on the matter which he has since done and I Enclose copies of his report with my marginal remarks and measure-ment list for your information and disposal.

2. I inspected the place myself on the 6th May and found that it was apparently your intention to reconstruct a small Temple with the dismantled remains of the original structure providing for the usual Devara-mantapam Sukhanyasi with the Utsava vahana and ugrana rooms and Ranga and Wodia devara mantapams but the foundations already made are not square and it will never do to adopt them without first sorting and calculating dimensions of roof materials, pillars, girders &c and then rebuilding fresh foundations in proper manner with a correct plan so as to admit of the old roof materials being utilised, which I fear it will be impossible to do with the

crooked "Layout" of the foundations you now have in the ground. Besides it is difficult to say how much of the roof material is available and how much damaged.

3. The Sukhanyasi portion appears to be too narrow altogether for its purpose and should be made at least 4 feet wider or as it was before dismantlement.

4. This is all you require, I understand to enable you to settle matters connected with the temple, the other papers having already been sent to Mr Krishna Rao at his request on the 12th November last.

I have the honor &c
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Sd/ F. A Steele
Ex Engineer.
Srinivasa Rao

"Copy"

D. Srinivasa Rao.
Deputy Commissioner

From The No: 1 Sub Division Office
Shemoga

To, The Executive Engineer
Shemoga Division

Dated Camp Shemoga
1.5.1911

Despatched 2.5.1911

No: 257

Enclosures

Executive Engineer's No: 260 of 28.9.1910 requesting to have a few trial pits dug all round the foundation of the Sallegere Temple and to submit the measurements of works done by Contractor Madore Moodlior and to report the nature and Value of work done etc. etc

Sir,

With reference to the above, I beg to report that the trial pits are dug all round the foundations.

Remarks by Executive Engineer

As

found the foundations were
not Square and if old Roof
materials are to be used the
whole fitting will be crooked
even if the girder and slabs
and bovays can be cut
to suit the present crooked
lay out.

works done are to
or not I beg to state
the 1st and 2nd blocks
temple, the works execut
differ from the Sanctione
-tions, but the found
laid are rectangular.

As already reported
predecessor, there are no
or through stones, in the
works done by the 1st Con
Sovkar S. Venkatappa a
next Contractor Madura
Sovakar S. Venkatappa
to have done almost al
foundation filling to a
of 2' madura modias,
raised the foundations
a foot and built the Sy
in patches, which is ab
foot in height. The under
works are said to have
done newly by Madura
(1) Excavating foundat
Refilling the same (3) a
raising the Superstruct
the rear wall of Kalla
Mantapam, cross wall
Sukanyasi room rear

of Stone and uchavahona ~~roofs~~
and the Pillars in hall.

This would certainly do if the corners and walls were truly laid out in foundations but they are not so laid or no proper plan appear to have been adopted and unless the whole thing is carefully calculated before hand to suit available roof material after sorting or salving the work will be a failure.

The matter of settlement of claims and accounts is for settlement by the Revenue Department.

(Sd) T.A. Steal
Executive Engineer
13-6-1911 Ramnagaram

The works done are not as there are no bond or through Stones and it would be very costly to, dismantle and re-build the whole masonry, I think it better to keep 2' foundation all over, undisturbed and to lay one course of Slabs 6" thick above the foundation all throughout the walls above 2', after dismantling the courses built by madura moodiar over 2' foundations. The insertion of Slabs above the foundation, in my humble opinion, covers up the ~~corrects~~ defects pointed out in the masonry of 2' and can bear the weight of roofing etc. as the weight will equally be distributed. By so doing moduru moodiar cannot claim any more measurements, than what is entered in the measurement list herewith submitted under the Sub head (masonry).

A rate of annas Eight is allowed, in the Sanctioned estimate for building the coping of the compounds,

Compound wall with new bricks, but the Contractor maistry Moodliar has used old bricks and brick bats withinh obtaining orders. Considering the quality of work done and to settle the claims of the Contractor finally, one half of the Sanctioned rate seems to be sufficient for the work done.

The measurement list herewith submitted will settle all claims of Contractor maistry Moodliar.

I beg to remain
Sir
your most obedient Servant
(sd) V Lakshmana Rao
Asst: / Sub Division No 4
Shimoga.

Copy
D. Srinivasachary
Dy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. --08

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 338

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 7/11/09

Signed [illegible]

READ -

- Petitions Reg no 200 & 319 & 21-7-09 & 5-8-09 from Madhu Mudaliar praying for the payment of the money due to him for work done to the ~~Devasthanam~~ Sri Venkataramana Swami temple at Pillangere in the Shimoga Taluk

Correspondence ending with letter no 57/6 & 19th Aug[illegible]

over

Re Deputy commissioner's Shimoga Dt, reporting that the work done by the petitioner is not satisfactory, that it can not be valued at more than Rs 220-15-5, and that as a good contractor has since been secured, arrangements have been made for the speedy execution of the work and soliciting early orders regarding the settlement of the claim of Madhure Mudaliar

Order thereon No 1008
~~Dated~~ 7-1-08

The payment of Rs 220-15- to Madhure Mudaliar for the work done by him, is sanctioned

The Dy Commissioner requested to get the remaining work satisfactorily executed under proper supervision

The Dy Com's report if this work may not be entrusted to the P.W.D. for execution as this Rep. appears to not be satisfactory. Sri ~~Rep~~ Rep. appears to not be satisfactorily executed in carrying out this work

For Mys Secy

The Dy Comr, Shimoga
Comptroller to Govt

Retg. records

810

DIARY No.

1911-1912
SECTION Muzarai
FILE No. 1-08
SERIAL No. 7.

From K. Srinivasa Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq
B. A.
Secretary to Government
Muzarai Department

Dated 11th November 1911

Despatched

Received

General No 126
No. 3-

Enclosure No.

With reference to para 2 of Government order no: 1008-9 Muz: J 1-08-6 dated 2/11/11 states that the work of the temple in question is expected to be finished by the end of the official year

Continue Mte.
RA
21/11/11

G.P.B—1.50 000 - 20-6 11

No 126
30

11th November 19

From

L. Srinivasa Row Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer. Esq
Secretary to Government
Muzarai Department

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of Government order number 1008-9 Muzari. 7. 1-08-6, dated 2-11-1911 enquiring whether the work of reconstructing the temple of Sri Venkataramana devaru at Pillangi may not be entrusted to the Public work Department, I have the honour to state, that as already reported in para 6 of my letter number 57/6 of 19th August 1911, I have arrange to get the work done by the agency o the Revenue Department. A Competen Contractor has been engaged for the work under proper supervision I expect t get the work finished by the end of th official year

D. Srinivasa Row

Dy Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist

29

File No. 1-08

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 810

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 23-2-1912

Signed by Mr R Ramaswamy

READ—

Govt Proceedgs no 1008-9/M 71-08-6 & 2-11-11 passing orders regarding the settlement of the claim of Contractor Madhure Mudaliyar for the ~~CONSTRUCTION~~ repairs executed by him to the Sri Venkataramanaswamy temple at Pillangere in the Shimoga taluk and requesting the Dy Comr to report if the work

over.

SUBJECT.

GPB—10 000 29 9 10

may not be entrusted to the P.W. Dept for execution, as the Rev Dept had not so far been successful in carrying out the work.

Letter no 126/30-Q-11-11-11 from the Dy Comr, Shimoga Dt ~~stating that he has~~ reporting that he has arranged to get the work done by a Competent Contractor under proper supervision through the agency of the Rev Dept and that he expects the work to be finished by the end of the official year

Order thereon

No G 75-6 Dt 23/2/1912

Under the circumstances, the Dy Comr is authorised to have the work carried out ~~the works~~ by the agency of the Rev. Dept.

G

20/2/1912

For. Offg Secry

20/2/12

To The Dy comr, Shimoga Dt

" Comptroller to Govt

Rev Cgfl (139) 20/2/12